Case 1:15-cr-00866-WHP Document 13 Filed 07/16/18 Page 1 of 9 I6jdclac

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 15 Cr. 0866 (WHP) 4 V. 5 ROGER THOMAS CLARK, 6 Defendant. 7 ----x 8 June 19, 2018 9 5:10 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. WILLIAM H. PAULEY III, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL NEFF 17 Assistant United States Attorney 18 STEPHANIE CARVLIN Attorney for Defendant 19 20 - also present -SA Samad Shahrani, FBI 21 22 SA Gary Alford, IRS 23 Tyler Good-Cohn, Government Intern 24 25

1	THE CLERK: United States of America versus Roger
2	Thomas Clark. Appearances.
3	MR. NEFF: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael Neff
4	for the government, joined at counsel table by FBI Special
5	Agent Sam Shahrani, IRS Special Agent Gary Alford, and Tyler
6	Good-Cohn, a summer intern in our office.
7	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Neff.
8	MS. CARVLIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Stephanie
9	Carvlin for Roger Thomas Clark, who is seated next to me.
10	THE COURT: Good afternoon to you, Ms. Carvlin.
11	I note the presence of the defendant at counsel table.
12	I understand that this matter is here for arraignment.
13	MR. NEFF: That is correct, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. So why don't we begin with
15	that.
16	Mr. Clark, would you stand, sir.
17	Are you the defendant, Roger Thomas Clark?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I am.
19	THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the Superseding
20	Indictment in this case?
21	THE DEFENDANT: I have.
22	THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney,
23	Ms. Carvlin?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
25	THE COURT: Do you waive my reading the indictment

1 | word for word here in open court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: How do you plead to the charges by the United States against you in the Superseding Indictment, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Not quilty of all charges, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. You may be seated.

Mr. Neff, briefly, for the benefit of the Court and the defendant, would you describe the nature of the charges and how the defendant comes to be here today in a case that was filed in 2015?

MR. NEFF: Yes, your Honor. If I may take those in reverse order?

THE COURT: That is fine.

MR. NEFF: The defendant was arrested in Thailand in December of 2015. He contested extradition in the Thai justice system. Ultimately, in April of this year a final order of extradition was issued by a Thai appeals court, and the defendant was extradited here to the United States late last week, arriving in the Southern District on Friday, at which point he was presented before Judge Gorenstein.

The nature of the charges, substantively, stem from the defendant's role in the Silk Road, which, as your Honor may already be aware, in brief, was a massive online black market where hundreds of kilograms of drugs were sold as well as other

contraband, including computer hacking tools and fake id.s.

The charges include accusations about each of those types of illegality -- narcotics, computer hacking, fake id.s -- as well as distribution of narcotics over the Internet.

In terms of the allegations about the defendant's role, we allege that the defendant was a trusted confidante and senior advisor to the individual who owned and operated the Silk Road black market. That individual, the owner, his name is Ross Ulbricht. The defendant fulfilled various roles in his sort of overall role as a senior advisor, which included hiring and managing a staff of computer programmers who helped develop the website's infrastructure. The defendant was also responsible for gathering information on law enforcement's attempts or efforts to investigate Silk Road, and the defendant advised Ulbricht on all aspects of the website, including about the use of violence to protect their drug empire.

Would it be useful to pivot to discovery?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. NEFF: If I may, your Honor, there are I guess three things I would like to do in terms of discovery: One, just summarize the nature and volume, what it consists of; two, tell the Court the limited amount that has been produced already; and, three, update the Court as to the communications that we have had to date with the MDC in Brooklyn, which is prepared to make significant accommodations to ensure that

Mr. Clark has expeditious access to the voluminous nature of the discovery in this case.

So, first, in terms of what discovery consists of, it's ready to be produced now. It is voluminous. It is approximately 7 to 8 terabytes. It includes copies of at least three Silk Road servers. It includes a complete image of the Silk Road website at the time of seizure. Silk Road was in operation from early 2011 until late 2013. Discovery includes photographs of the website.

Importantly, it includes items from Ross Ulbricht's laptop. Ulbricht was arrested while he was logged into his laptop so he was caught redhanded, and on that laptop are a number of documents of interest to this case, including chats with Mr. Clark, travel records for Mr. Clark, scanned encrypted copies of Mr. Clark's Canadian passport, as well as encrypted copies of identification documents for other Silk Road employees and staff.

Discovery also includes documentary evidence such as search warrants for devices recovered from Mr. Clark's residence when he was arrested in Thailand back in late 2015. Discovery also includes documents from other countries, including the UK.

I should note that we anticipate receiving documents from Thailand in the very near future and will promptly produce that upon receipt.

There is also a smaller amount of physical evidence, including drugs purchased from the Silk Road in an undercover capacity as well as the lab reports that accompany them.

Another item of physical evidence is Mr. Clark's passport recovered from him in connection with his extradition. That passport matches the passport that was found on Ulbricht's laptop with one exception, which is the passport recovered from Mr. Clark has a signature, the one on Ulbricht's laptop does not.

I should note that Mr. Clark did make post-arrest statements in Thailand after he was Mirandized. Those have already been produced to defense counsel, along with the signed Miranda forms. And then there would also be some law enforcement reports contained within the discovery.

As previewed, a modest amount of discovery has already been produced earlier today. Those include post-arrest statements made in Thailand, <u>Miranda</u> forms, as well as a binder containing certain documents of interest within the much larger universe that we expect to produce soon.

We have informed defense counsel that upon receipt of two 4-terabyte drives, we will promptly copy our copy of the discovery and get that to defense counsel promptly.

Third, in terms of arrangements made for the defendant's expeditious review at the MDC in Brooklyn, I had a lengthy conversation earlier with counsel there. They are

willing to do for Mr. Clark what they do extremely, extremely rarely but what they did in the Ulbricht case, which is mindful of the voluminous nature of the discovery, they are prepared to essentially give him access seven days a week to a laptop that has been loaded up with the discovery materials. That is not the norm. They made very clear that administratively that creates certain additional burdens for them but they have committed to us that they will do that. And the hours — it is a considerable number of hours and it is access seven days per week.

Just by way of comparison, typically, so when it is not the exceptional case, my understanding is when a defendant needs to review discovery on a drive, they typically get access three hours or so per week, not seven days per week, but they have committed to doing that. So I have informed defense counsel that if she can provide us with a laptop, we will either load up the laptop or load up a second set of drives and together we can get that over to the MDC so that the defendant can begin reviewing the voluminous materials.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that report, Mr. Neff.

Ms. Carvlin, how much time would you like to review these matters with your client in order to determine what motions, if any, you would like to make?

MS. CARVLIN: Boy, Judge, that is a difficult question

to answer standing here. Obviously, when I hear 7 to 8 terabytes, that leads to the thought of months and months and months of dedicated activity. Obviously, I'm very appreciative of the MDC's willingness to accommodate in this case, and the idea that Mr. Clark will have access to the materials on his own at pretty much whenever he would like is going to be hugely helpful but I also have to review them.

So what I would suggest, Judge, is coming back to the Court perhaps in the fall. By then I will have an idea of what progress I have been making. I will also -- I'm confident I can work with Mr. Neff to determine -- excuse me, to identify sort of the areas to begin with. So I would say three months would be a good starting point.

THE COURT: All right. September 20 at 12 noon.

MS. CARVLIN: That is good, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. And, Ms. Carvlin, what is the defendant's view concerning the exclusion of time between now and September 20?

MS. CARVLIN: Your Honor, I believe that it's appropriate to exclude time. I am asking for the time to review the discovery. The government is able to produce it immediately so the delay will be on my part, the willingness to — or the need to review it as well as review it with my client.

THE COURT: All right. Since this continuance is due

Case 1:15-cr-00866-WHP Document 13 Filed 07/16/18 Page 9 of 9 I6jdclac

1	to the defendant's need to review very voluminous discovery
2	from the government, I prospectively exclusive the time from
3	today until September 20, 2018 from Speedy Trial Act
4	calculations. I find that this continuance serves to ensure
5	the effective assistance of counsel and prevents any
6	miscarriage of justice. Additionally, I find that the ends of
7	justice served by such a continuance outweigh the best
8	interests of the public and Mr. Clark in a speedy trial
9	pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 3161.
10	Are there any other issues that we can address this
11	afternoon?
12	MR. NEFF: Not from the government, your Honor. Thank
13	you.
14	MS. CARVLIN: No, your Honor. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you for coming in. Have
16	a good afternoon.
17	THE CLERK: All rise.
18	(Adjourned)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	